

## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 42-47 and 59-62 were pending in this application. Claims 42, 47, 59, and 60 have been amended. Applicants submit claims 42-47 and 59-62 for reconsideration.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the interview on January 25, 2005, during which Applicant's representatives and the Examiner discussed claims 42, 61, and 62. During the interview, the Examiner agreed that claims 61 and 62 define over Kassai et al. (USP 6,322,143).

Claims 42-47 and 59-62 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Kassai et al. Applicants request withdrawal of this rejection for at least the following reasons.

Each of claims 42, 47, and 59, as amended, define a child seat "wherein the armrest is adjustable *along a straight line* between first and second positions." In contrast, Kassai et al. discloses a child safety seat in which a movable member 25 is *rotatable* relative to a base side surface 26. The movable member 25 of Kassai et al. does not move along a straight line between first and second positions. For at least this reason, Applicants submit that claim 42 and its dependent claims 43-46, claim 47, and claim 59 are not anticipated by Kassai et al. under 35 USC 102(b).

Claim 60 defines a child seat "wherein the armrest is adjustable *along a straight line* in a vertical direction." As mentioned above, the movable member 25 of Kassai et al. does not move along a straight line. For at least this reason, Applicants submit that claim 60 is not anticipated by Kassai et al. under 35 USC 102(b).

Finally, as noted on the Interview Summary dated January 25, 2005, the Examiner agreed that claims 61 and 62 are not anticipated by Kassai et al. under 35 USC 102(b).

Applicants believe that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 CFR 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicants hereby petition for such extension under 37 CFR 1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date February 28, 2005

By Mary Michelle Kile

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5428  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Mary Michelle Kile  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 35,217